

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/829,640	9,640 04/22/2004		James E. Swon	04-02 US	7024	
23693	7590	10/04/2006		EXAMINER		
Varian Inc.			HANDY, DWAYNE K			
Legal Depar	tment				···	
3120 Hanser	n Way D-1	.02	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Palo Alto, C	CA 94304	ļ	1743			
				DATE MAII ED: 10/04/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application I	lo.	Applicant(s)				
		10/829,640		SWON ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Dwayne K. Ha	· .	1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, I will apply and will ex , cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be time bire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		·					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consid						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requ	rement.					
···	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been re s have been re	eceived. eceived in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
· S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified	copies not received	d.				
Attachment	r(s)							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/22/04, 8/2/05</u> .	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/829,640 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 12-14 and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 12-14 and 31-34 recite a "pick up component". This term is unclear. What structural elements for this component are required to meet the limitation of a "pick up component"?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-11, 15, 16 and 18-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shah et al. (3,801,280). Shah teaches a dissolution test apparatus and method. The apparatus includes a container (1) having a lid (4) having a sample holder (2) and filter element (8) connected to it. The filter element contains a magnet (16) on the inside that is driven by a magnet (46) outside the container.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,640

Art Unit: 1743

4. Claims 1-11, 15-17, 21-30 and 35-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zuellig et al. (6,126,904). Zuellig teaches an apparatus and method for the preparation of chemical compounds. The device is best shown in Figures 1B, 2, 14, 18 and 19. As shown in the Figures, the device includes a plurality of reaction vessels (30) having a first opening (41) connected to feed fines (50, 60) through a fitting and a second opening (42) in the bottom. The vessels include an actuated agitator (35) in the form of a magnetic plunger, stir bar, ban, bead, column or disk that is driven by a magnet (500) provided next to the vessels (column 7, line 60 – column 8, line 22).

Page 3

The Examiner notes that Applicant has broadly claimed a movable component and sample carrier elements. The Examiner considers the magnet element (35) as meeting the limitation of a movable component since it may be moved by other magnetic elements. The Examiner considers the solid support elements recited by Zuellig (column 5, lines 16-32) as meeting the limitation of a sample carrier that releases compounds. Applicant has also recited a first and second sections in a container, but these claimed sections do not have any physical limitations. Therefore, any container may have multiple sections.

5. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 15, 16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nielsen (6,582,116). Nielsen teaches an apparatus for mixing small volumes of reaction materials. The device is best shown in Figures 1, 2, and 8. It

Art Unit: 1743

includes a reactor (301) having a movable component (3/351) driven by an element (71/351) coupled to a driver via a magnetic coupling (353). The magnetic coupling is in the cover (361) that closes the reactor.

The Examiner notes that Applicant has broadly claimed first and second sections in a container, but these claimed sections do not have any physical limitations.

Therefore, any container may have multiple sections and meet this limitation.

6. Claims 1-4, 7-11,15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jahn et al. (7,074,364). Jahn teaches a device and method for carrying out reactions in parallel. The device is best shown in Figures 1, 2 and 7. The device includes reactors (12) having lids (21-29) for covering the reactors (12). Each reactor may be mixed by a magnetic driver (4) that is coupled to a magnetic element inside the reactor.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. MacMichael et al. (4,759,635), Conant et al. (5,215,717), Christian et al. (5,267,791), and Chandler, Jr. (6,692,708) teach containers having stirrers driven by magnetic drivers.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K. Handy whose telephone number is (571)-272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,640

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DKH September 30, 2006

Mill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

Page 5